Enzyme-assisted extraction of phenolic compounds from murucizeiro leaves (*Byrsonima crassifolia*)
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The aim of this work was to evaluate the enzyme-assisted extraction of phenolic compounds from murucizeiro leaves (*Byrsonima crassifolia*) employing response surface methodology (RSM), in order to determine the best extraction conditions. The chemical composition of the leaves and characteristics of the enzymes were determined. The independent variables were temperature (19 to 70°C), pH (2.4 to 7.5) and reaction time (19 to 220 minutes). A conventional and optimized triple extraction with organic solvents was used as control. As result to solvent extraction was observed 73.28 mg of gallic acid equivalents (GAE) g⁻¹ DM, while under the best condition for enzyme-assisted extraction (temperature: 60°C; pH: 4.5; and time: 220 min), the concentration was 90.65 mg GAE g⁻¹. The independent variables significant were: temperature, pH and log time at the linear level and temperature at the quadratic level. A similar methodology could be encouraged for other natural products rich in phenolic compounds.
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O objetivo deste trabalho foi avaliar a extração de compostos fenólicos de folhas do murucizeiro (*Byrsonima crassifolia*) auxiliada por enzimas, empregando metodologia de superfície de resposta (RSM), a fim de determinar as melhores condições de extração. A composição química das folhas e as características das enzimas foram determinadas. As variáveis independentes foram temperatura (19 a 70°C), pH (2,4 a 7,5) e tempo de reação (19 a 220 minutos). Uma extração tripla convencional e otimizada com solventes orgânicos foi usada como controle. Como resultados para a extração com solvente foi observado 73,28 mg de equivalente de ácido gálico (GAE) g⁻¹, enquanto que na melhor condição para a extração assistida por enzima (temperatura: 60 °C; pH: 4,5; e tempo: 220 min), a concentração foi 90,65 mg GAE g⁻¹. As variáveis independentes significativas foram: temperatura, pH e tempo de log no nível linear e temperatura no nível quadrático. Uma metodologia semelhante deve ser incentivada para outros produtos naturais ricos em compostos fenólicos.

Palavras-chave: *Byrsonima crassifolia*, compostos fenólicos, metodologia de superfície de resposta.

1. INTRODUCTION

Phenolic compounds are phytochemicals produced as secondary metabolites in a variety of daily-consumed vegetables. They present important functions to the plant: insect protection, drought season resistance and ultraviolet protection [1]. Such aspects arouse interest in these compounds and its properties at consumption. The Amazon region offers great potential in supplying phenolic enriched vegetables. *Byrsonima crassifolia* is one of these species, knowned in Brazil as murucizeiro, and has as main products the fruit and leaves, which has high antioxidant capacity [2].

Phenolic compounds are obtained through vegetable matrix consumption or a variety of forms after extraction and application in pharmaceutic, cosmetic or food production. However, the
extraction processes may need more complexity depending on its purposes, and the extracts quality can be critical for future applications [3]. Therefore, the extraction step is fundamental to obtain these compounds on desirable quality and quantity.

Traditional extraction processes include chemical agent used as organic solvents [4], which can be efficient in some cases, but are often environmentally aggressive and demands strict control of solvent polarity, time and extraction temperature, which can lead to antioxidant compounds loss after long extraction periods [5]. Therefore, there have been growing interest in developing new extraction methods, especially green extractions, which allows alternative solvents use, reducing energy consumption and enabling the use of renewable natural products [6].

Enzyme-assisted extraction is based on the fact that phenolic compounds on vegetable cells accumulate on two places: (i) the cell wall for flavonoids, esterified ferrulic acids and lignins, and (ii) the vacuole for soluble phenolic compounds and their derivatives [7]. During extraction, enzymes such as cellulases, hemicellulases, pectinases and others, varying according to the plant matrix composition, disrupt these cellular structures and release the compounds of interest [8, 9].

These extraction method received special attention for increasing release and recovery of phenolic compounds covalently or non-covalently bounded to the cell wall structure [9-11], besides reducing both energy cost and toxicity of the obtained extracts. It can be used as an alternative to the release of bioactive components from plant materials, due to its advantages of environmental compatibility, high efficiency, and easy operation processing [12].

Many theoretical and empirical models have been developed to predict enzymatic hydrolysis [13]. For this purpose, it is important the knowledge of the decisive intrinsic factors on the processes, such as: pH, temperature, time and enzyme/raw material ratio [14]. Based on the Michaelis-Menten model, important parameters such as: maximum velocity (Vmax) and Michaelis-Menten constat (Km) should be observed, in order to obtain efficient kinetics on the enzymatic biodigestion [13].

Enzymatic preparations using pectinases and cellulases are already a reality on fruit processing, and have showed good results in polysaccharides degradation and phenolic compounds release on grape marc [15, 16], apple juice and gooseberry. Depending on the vegetable matrix this process can demand an enzymatic association or individual enzymes [14].

The leaves of the murucizeiro have been widely studied, but all studies are based on extracts obtained as use of organic solvents [17], which present all the known technological restrictions. Thus, this work aims to evaluate, for the first time, the use of an enzyme cocktail to extract the phenolic compounds from the leaves of the murucizeiro, proposing a new method directed to this raw material with the obtaining of extracts rich in phenolic compounds and without inconveniences related to the use of organic solvents.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

2.1. Material

The B. crassifolia leaves (5 kg) were collected from the Guamá Campus of the Federal University of Pará (Belém, Brazil). Specie identification of the analyzed specimen was performed at the Museu Emilio Goeldi (Belém, Brazil) under registration No. 130939.

Leaves were dried in an incubator with air circulation at 50°C triturated in a grinder (type, Willye; model, TE-650; Tecnal, São Paulo, Brazil), and sieved. The fraction used was that passed through a 20-mesh sieve (0.84 mm) and was retained on a 28-mesh sieve (0.6 mm). The product was stored at -20 °C until analysis.

2.2. Methods

Enzymes used in the experiments were as follows: cellulase from Aspergillus niger, protease from Bacillus licheniformis (both from Fluka, Buchs, Switzerland), and hemicellulase (xylanase) and pectinase (polygalacturonase) from A. niger (both from Sigma, St. Louis, MO).

The leaves of B. crassifolia leaves were analyzed to: moisture, analyzed in a vacuum incubator at 70°C; ashes, obtained by calcination of samples at 550°C; protein content, obtained by micro-
Kjeldahl technique, with a nitrogen–protein conversion factor of 6.25; lipid content, extraction in Soxhlet; total dietary fiber (TDF) and insoluble dietary fiber (IDF), obtained by enzymatic-gravimetric method [18]; soluble dietary fiber (SDF), calculated as the difference between TDF and IDF; cellulose content, obtained by using the method by Silva and Queiroz (2002) [19]; hemicellulose content, obtained by the difference of fractions calculated by analyzing neutral detergent fiber (NDF) and acid detergent fiber (ADF) contents by using the methodology described by Van Soest (1990) [20]; lignin content [19]; and pectin content, obtained by extraction according to McCready and McComb (1952) [21].

The Solvent extraction was performed according to Silva et al. (2007) [17] at 58°C during 45 min. The extraction solutions used were as follows: 1- extraction, acetone:methanol (70:30, v:v); 2- extraction, methanol:water (70:30, v:v); and 3- extraction, methanol:water:acetic acid (50:40:10, v:v:v) and finally mixed.

Cellulase activity was determined according to Ghose (1987) [22], by measuring the initial release rate of reducing sugars from a cellulose 2% standard solution (Sigma), using the 3,5-dinitrosalicylic acid (DNS) method [23]. Xylanase activity was determined according to Bailey et al. (1992) [24], using a 2% standard solution of xylan (Sigma) Polygalacturonase activity was determined by the release of reducing groups from a 2% standard solution of low methoxylated citrus pectin (Sigma) [25]. Protease activity was determined from a 2% standard solution of soy protein isolate (Integral Médica, Embú-Guaçu, Brazil) [26].

For enzymatic extraction, 0.2 g of powdered *B. crassifolia* leaves were weighed in conical amber flasks (30 mL). The Extraction solution (4 mL) in the buffer evaluated containing: cellulase (3.81 IU.g⁻¹), xylanase (2.45 IU.g⁻¹), polygalacturonase (2.24 IU.g⁻¹), and protease (1.02 IU.g⁻¹) was added in the tubes. The enzymatic extraction of was accomplished in a thermostatically controlled orbital shaker (MARCONI, MA420/315, Brazil) with gentle agitation (150 rpm) in the dark. At the end of each extraction, the sample was centrifuged at 4°C for 5 min at 7,000 rpm and the supernatants were removed and frozen at -10°C for analysis. Buffers used were as follows: pH 2.4 (glycine, 0.2M HCl), pH 3.5 (citrate-phosphate, 0.1 M), pH 5.0 (sodium acetate, 0.05 M), pH 6.5 (potassium phosphate, 0.05 M), and pH 7.5 (potassium phosphate, 0.05 M). Phenolic compounds were determined by the Folin–Ciocalteu colorimetric method [27], adapted by Silva et al. (2007) [17] to spectrophotometer microplates measured at 765 nm and expressed in mg GAE g⁻¹.

### 2.3. Statistical methods

Based on the response surface methodology (RSM), a experimental design was applied. The parameters of the process, identified as independent variables, were evaluated in 5 coded levels (-α, -1, 0, +1, +α). A full factorial 23 design was used and is showed in the Table 1, with 8 factorial experiments (combinations between levels -1 and +1), 5 axial (1 variable at level + α and 2 at 0, and 1 variable at level - α and 2 at 0), and 6 repetitions at the central point. Six additional points were performed, resulting in 25 experiments. The results were analysed with the Statistica 7.0 software (Statsoft Inc.) using analysis of variance (ANOVA) and the Tukey test (p < 0.05) to validate empirical mathematical model generated.

### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### 3.1. Composition of *B. crassifolia* leaves

The characterization was performed with the main objective of identifying the fiber content of the leaves and facilitating the choice of enzymes to be used in the enzymatic extraction. Considering the dry matter values, total fiber content of *B. crassifolia* leaves (85.52%) was much higher than that reported by Esmelindro et al. [28] (14.96-19.95%) in yerba mate leaves and by Modesti et al. (2007) [29] (21.40%) in cassava leaves flour. The chemical characterization showed: 9.93% ± 0.50 (protein), 3.09% ± 0.37 (lipids), 1.43% ± 0.01 (Ash), 45.99% ± 0.02 (Cellulose), 26.28% ± 0.25 (Hemicellulose), 5.62% ± 0.63 (lignin), 5.11% ± 0.32 (Pectin).
3.3. Statistical analysis of enzymatic extractions

The concentrations of total phenolic compounds obtained from *B. crassifolia* leaves by enzymatic extraction under the different conditions of the experimental design, are presented in Table 1.

*Table 1. Central composite design setting in original and coded forms of the independent variables (pH, time and temperature) and experimental results for the total phenolic content of *Byrsonima crassifolia* leaves.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Run order</th>
<th>Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>Time (min)</th>
<th>Total phenolics&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1 (30)</td>
<td>-1 (3.5)</td>
<td>-1 (60)</td>
<td>55.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1 (30)</td>
<td>-1 (3.5)</td>
<td>+1 (180)</td>
<td>68.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-1 (30)</td>
<td>+1 (6.5)</td>
<td>-1 (60)</td>
<td>50.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-1 (30)</td>
<td>+1 (6.5)</td>
<td>+1 (180)</td>
<td>52.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>+1 (60)</td>
<td>-1 (3.5)</td>
<td>-1 (60)</td>
<td>89.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>+1 (60)</td>
<td>-1 (3.5)</td>
<td>+1 (180)</td>
<td>95.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>+1 (60)</td>
<td>+1 (6.5)</td>
<td>-1 (60)</td>
<td>69.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>+1 (60)</td>
<td>+1 (6.5)</td>
<td>+1 (180)</td>
<td>86.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>- α (19)</td>
<td>0 (5.0)</td>
<td>0 (120)</td>
<td>35.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>+ α (70)</td>
<td>0 (5.0)</td>
<td>0 (120)</td>
<td>80.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>0 (45)</td>
<td>- α (2.4)</td>
<td>0 (120)</td>
<td>90.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>0 (45)</td>
<td>+ α (7.5)</td>
<td>0 (120)</td>
<td>55.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>0 (45)</td>
<td>0 (5.0)</td>
<td>+ α (220)</td>
<td>85.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>0 (45)</td>
<td>0 (5.0)</td>
<td>0 (120)</td>
<td>76.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>0 (45)</td>
<td>0 (5.0)</td>
<td>0 (120)</td>
<td>70.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>0 (45)</td>
<td>0 (5.0)</td>
<td>0 (120)</td>
<td>70.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>0 (45)</td>
<td>0 (5.0)</td>
<td>0 (120)</td>
<td>75.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>0 (45)</td>
<td>0 (5.0)</td>
<td>0 (120)</td>
<td>70.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>0 (45)</td>
<td>0 (5.0)</td>
<td>0 (120)</td>
<td>77.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>0 (45)</td>
<td>-1 (3.5)</td>
<td>+1 (180)</td>
<td>89.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>+ α (60)</td>
<td>- α (2.4)</td>
<td>+1 (180)</td>
<td>85.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>+ α (60)</td>
<td>- α (2.4)</td>
<td>0 (120)</td>
<td>90.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>+ α (70)</td>
<td>- α (2.4)</td>
<td>+1 (180)</td>
<td>88.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>+ α (70)</td>
<td>-1 (3.5)</td>
<td>+ α (220)</td>
<td>84.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>+ α (70)</td>
<td>- α (2.4)</td>
<td>+ α (220)</td>
<td>82.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Values between brackets are the original forms of the variables; <sup>b</sup> mg GAE g<sup>-1</sup> DM.

In Table 2 the Analysis of variance (ANOVA) for the phenolic compounds release yield is summarized. Was verified that the generated mathematical model was significant and predictive, the model passed in the Fisher-test (F-test) of the regression, since the value of the F calculated was greater than the value of F tabled (17.18 > 2.59) and also passed in the F-test of the lack of fit, since the F calculated was less than the F tabled (7.79 < 10.05). Thus, the mathematical model and response surfaces were generated (Equation 1 and Figure 2). The value of R<sup>2</sup> showed that the model explained 91.65% of the variation of the experimental data, which is desirable, and had a maximum explainable variation of the 98.99%. 
Table 2: Analysis of variance (ANOVA) for the phenolic compounds release.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of variation</th>
<th>Sums of squares</th>
<th>Degree of freedom</th>
<th>Average Square</th>
<th>F-test (95%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Regression</td>
<td>5111.47</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>567.94</td>
<td>17.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual</td>
<td>495.81</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>33.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of fit</td>
<td>439.38</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>43.94</td>
<td>7.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pure error</td>
<td>56.43</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>11.29</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>5607.28</td>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

% of variation explained (R²) 91.16%

% of maximum explainable variation 98.99%

Variables with significant effects (p < 0.05) were as follows: temperature (L and Q), pH (L), and log time (L). The estimated effect indicates how each factor influenced the studied response; the higher the factor value, the greater was the influence on the response variable, as shown in the Pareto chart (Figure 1).

![Pareto chart](image)

According to Figure 1, the linear effect of temperature showed the greatest influence on the content of extracted phenolic compounds. The effect showed a positive value, indicating that the higher the temperature of extraction, the greater the amount of extracted phenolic compounds. This behavior is in agreement with the findings of Escribano-Bailón and Santos-Buelga (2003) [30] for the extraction of polyphenols from food products. These authors attributed this effect to the influence of temperature on the increase in diffusivity.

The quadratic effect of temperature was also significant, but negative, indicating the existence of a maximum point for the effect of this variable on the extraction. The high temperature provided greater kinetic energy to molecules of the reagents, which resulted in increased collisions and a higher yield for the enzymatic extractions [31]. However, high temperatures cause the disruption
of weak links of enzymes, and its subsequent denaturation reduces hydrolysis and the release rate of the compounds of interest.

The linear effect of pH showed a negative coefficient, indicating that a more acidic pH favors the extraction of phenolic compounds. This effect should be primarily because of the acid pH and not because of enzyme action, since enzymes of the cellulase complex show a reduction of activity at pH values close to 3.5. The addition of acids to the extraction solution increases the dissolution of phenolic compounds, which are initially part of the polymers or components connected to the cell wall [30-32].

The time variable, whose values were log-transformed for linearization, showed a positive linear effect, indicating that an increase in extraction time caused an increase in the content of extracted phenolic compounds. The extraction time should be sufficient for the solvent to dissolve the maximum possible amount of solute, until the system reaches equilibrium (saturation) [30]. Conversely, lengthy extraction times increase the possibility of oxidative degradation of the already extracted phenolic compounds, which is favored by high temperatures [33].

The effects of the temperature, pH, and time variables on the concentration of phenolic compounds extracted from B. crassifolia leaves can be seen in the response surfaces (Figure 2), which were predicted by the empirical mathematical model generated shown in Equation 1. The mathematical model was validate and were used only significant factors (p-value <0.05) in equation model generate.

\[ TP = 60.49 + 13.79 \times T - 7.72 \times \text{pH} - 4.67 \times \log t - 7.47 \times T^2 \] (equation 1), Where TP = total phenolic content, T = temperature (°C), and log t = logarithm of time (min).

![Figure 2. Response surfaces for the total phenolic content of Byrsonima crassifolia leaves as a function of temperature and time (A), or temperature and pH (B). (GAE: Gallic acid equivalent, d.b.: on dry basis).](image)

The highest extraction efficiencies of total phenolic compounds are represented by the lighter areas in Figures 2A and 2B: temperatures between 45°C and 70°C, pH between 2.0 and 4.5, and extraction times longer than 200 min. The maximum point for the effect of temperature was observed at approximately 60°C. The extraction yield of phenolic compounds increases with temperature; however, some classes of flavonoids are thermosensitive (mainly anthocyanins and flavonoids derived from flavan-3-ols), which indicates the need to maintain the extraction temperature below the degradation limit [14, 30, 33].

Within the studied experimental field, and the conditions that showed the highest efficiencies of extraction, a temperature of 60°C, pH of 4.5, and a time of 220 min was established as the optimal
conditions for the process. In these conditions, the concentration of total phenolic compounds extracted from B. crassifolia leaves, as predicted using Equation 1, was 90.65 mg GAE g⁻¹ DM. In the optimized conditions, the efficiency of enzymatic extraction was significantly higher than that in conventional solvent extraction, which is also performed under optimized conditions (73.28 mg GAE g⁻¹ DM). A lower amount was reported by Souza et al. (2007) [34] (58.15 mg GAE g⁻¹ DM) for extraction from B. crassifolia leaves by using a solution of methanol:water (80:20, v:v) as the solvent.

Heemann et al. (2019) [35] verified for the enzymatic extraction of phenolic compounds from yerba mate, the optimum conditions of temperature of 50°C, reaction time of 120 minutes and pH of 4.5, similar to the conditions verified in this work, which can be explained by the limitation of the conditions of use of the applied enzymes. Zhang et al. (2020) [36] showed that enzymatic extraction provided a more desirable profile of phenolic compounds with greater antioxidant activity, compared to other methods. According to Nogale-Bueno et al. (2020) [37] it is possible to obtain extracts with enzymatic extraction up to 120% more phenolic than those obtained by conventional methods, which shows that the application of this technology to products with a high phenolic content, such as Amazonian herbs, can be very interesting.

4. CONCLUSION

Generally and in this study, enzymatic extractions provided excellent yields of phenolic compounds from B. crassifolia leaves. The optimized conditions for enzymatic extraction were temperature 60°C, pH 4.5, and time 220 min. Under these conditions, the concentration of extracted phenolic compounds was 90.65 mg GAE g⁻¹ DM, which was approximately 25% higher than that obtained by solvent extraction. Therefore, in general, enzymatic extraction is suggested as an alternative to conventional extraction using organic solvents for the extraction of phenolic compounds from leaves.
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